# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To: Page White & Farrer

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE

54 Doughty Street LONDON WC1N 2LS United Kingdom	7 6 JAN 7004	EXAMINING AUTHORITY  (PCT Rule 66)	
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	2 2 -01- 2004
Applicant's or agent's file reference 207271/KCS/PJB/nlb		REPLY DUE	within 60 months/days from the above date of mailing
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/IB 2002/002324	29-04-2002		
International Patent Classification (IPC	) or both national classificat	tion and IPC	
H04L 29/06			
Applicant			
Nokia Corporation et	al		
The written opinion establing is	ished by the International S	earching Authority:	

1.	The written op	The written opinion established by the International Searching Authority:						
	is	is not						
	considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority.							
2.	This first	(first, etc.) opinion contains indications relating to the following items:						
	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion						
	Box No. II	Priority						
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention						
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited						
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application						
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application						
3.	The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.							
	When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Author grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(e).							
	How? By submit For the for	By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.						
	For an info For an add	For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4bis.  For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.  For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4.						
	If no reply is filed,	the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.						
4.	4. The final date by which the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter II of the PCT) must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 29-08-2004							

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/SE	Authorized officer		
Patent- och registreringsverket			
Box 5055			
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM	Kristoffer Ogebjer /LR		
Facsimile No. 46 8 667 72 88	Telephone No. 46 8 782 25 00		



International application No.

PCT/IB 2002/002324

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

Вох	No. I	Ва	isis of the opinion		
1.		it was fi	o the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in iled, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
			sinion is based on a translation from the original language into the following language, sthe language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:		
			international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))		
			publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4)		
			international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)		
2.	which	regard to the elements of the international application, this opinion has been established on the basis of (replacement sheets he have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as inally filed."):			
	$\boxtimes$	the inte	emational application as originally filed/furnished		
		the des	scription:		
		pages	as originally filed/furnished		
		pages	received by this Authority on		
		pages	received by this Authority on		
		the clas			
		pages	as originally filed/furnished		
		pages	as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19		
		pages pages	received by this Authority on		
		the dra			
	<u></u>	pages			
		pages	as originally filed/furnished received by this Authority on		
		pages	received by this Authority on		
		a seque	ence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.		
3.		The am	nendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
			the description, pages		
			the claims, Nos.		
			the drawings, sheets/figs		
			the sequence listing (specify):		
			any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):		
4.			pinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to and the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).		
			the description, pages		
		同	the claims, Nos.		
		Ħ			
		H	the drawings, sheets/figs		
		H	the sequence listing (specify):  any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):		
			my marriage to the anglatine means injectify.		

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Box No. I) (January 2004)

### WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IB 2002/002324

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Claims 1, 4, 6-9, 12-14, 20, 21

Claims

Inventive step (IS) Claims 1-21

Claims

Industrial applicability (IA) Claims

Claims

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

D1: "Stream Control Transmission Protocol" R. Stewart 2000 October.

D2: "TLS over SCTP" Jungmaier A. 2001 14 Nov.

The objective of the invention is to provide a communication between two entities without requiring the fifth adaptation layer.

D1 discloses the SCTP format. The format includes source port and destination port, which distinguish between connections. This is information that concerns the connection and thereby when sent to entities connection information is transferred between the entities.

D2 discloses SCTP signalling, wherein the signalling further contains TLS. The TLS involves handshaking, which means that connection identity information is transferred between the entities.

D1 is considered to be the closest state of the art.

According to D1 the Payload Protocol Identifier represents an application identifier. From what D1 discloses the invention according to claims 1,4,6-9,12, 20 and 21 is not novel.

From what D2 discloses the invention according to claims 1,13,14 and 20 is not novel.

From what is known from D1 or D2 the invention according to claims 2,3,5,15-19 only states details known or obvious to a person skilled in the art. These details concerns what the connection information comprises, different types of entities, forwarding the packet etc. and does not require an extra inventive activity by the skilled

. . . . / . . .

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/IB 2002/002324

#### Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:  $B\textsc{Ox}\ V$ 

person to arrive at the object according to the claims 2,3,5,15-19.

The invention according to claims 10,11 further differs from D1 in the matter of placing the connection information in a separate field in the header of a SCTP packet.

The effect of this is to be able to omit the adaptation layer

The problem underlying the present application is consequently that the address information should be transferred to the entity without an extra adaptation layer.

D1 states that the sender's port number in the header can be used in combination with the source ΙP address, destination port and the destination IP address to identify the association to which the packet belongs. With this knowledge it is considered obvious to a person skilled in the art to adjust the protocol according to D1 by implementing the address in a separate field of the header in order to omit the adaptation layer according to claims 10 and 11 of the present application.

The invention according to claims 10 and 11 lacks an inventive step.